

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,970	09/22/2006	Timo Luukas	05725.1602	4038
22852 7590 93/16/2010 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			KASSA, TIGABU	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	THE STATE OF THE S		1619	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/593,970	LUUKAS, TIMO			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TIGABU KASSA	1619			
Participants: Status of Application: <u>abandoned</u>					
(1) <u>TIGABU KASSA</u> .	(3)				
(2) Mr. Mark Sweet.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 9 March 2010	Time: 9:50 AM				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic: ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica ☐ Sthibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner asked about the status of the application since applicant did not reply within the six month period. The attorney confirmed that the application is abandoned. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/YVONNE L. EYLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1619					
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			